

# MTH1001-Algebra

## Slides Week 5

Tips for checking polynomial calculations.

Irreducible polynomials.

Finding roots and factorisations of quadratic polynomials.

Unique factorisation of polynomials.

The maximum number of roots of a polynomial.

Polynomial interpolation.

Complex roots: the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.

Roots and factorisations of polynomials with real coefficients.

# Tips for checking polynomial calculations

- It is very easy to do mistakes in doing polynomial calculations.
- An obvious way of checking a polyn. division is multiplying the second polyn. by the quotient and then add the remainder.
- A partial check is substituting numbers for  $x$  (choosing them easy).
  - ▶ EXAMPLE. Suppose we have found, by long division,

$$x^4 - 3x^2 + x - 5 = (x^2 + x + 3) \cdot (x^2 - x - 5) + (9x + 10).$$

- ▶ Now we do a few checks, substituting some numbers for  $x$ :

$$x = 0 : \quad -5 = 3 \cdot (-5) + 10$$

$$x = 1 : \quad (1 - 3 + 1 - 5) = (1 + 1 + 3) \cdot (1 - 1 - 5) + (9 + 10)$$

$$x = -1 : \quad (1 - 3 - 1 - 5) = (1 - 1 + 3) \cdot (1 + 1 - 5) + (-9 + 10)$$

- ▶ A nonzero value for  $x$  is often enough to reveal a calc. error.
- ▶ In case of longer calculations, such as the ext. Eucl. alg., first check the final result, and then, if wrong, check each intermediate step.

# Irreducible polynomials

- DEFINITION. A non-constant polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$ 
  - ▶ is *reducible* in  $F[x]$  (or *over*  $F$ ) if  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ , for some  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  non-constant polynomials in  $F[x]$ ,
  - ▶ is *irreducible* (rather than *prime*) in  $F[x]$  if it is not reducible.
- Equivalently, a non-constant  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$  if it has no *proper* divisors  $g(x)$  (that is, with  $0 < \deg(g) < \deg(f(x))$ ).
- The constant polynomials are neither reducible nor irreducible.
- Polynomials of degree 1 are, clearly, always irreducible.
- EXAMPLE.  $x^2 + 1$  is irreducible as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ , but not as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , because  $x^2 + 1 = (x - i)(x + i)$ .

# Quadratic polynomials

- Finding the roots of  $ax^2 + bx + c$  (with  $a \neq 0$ ) is the same as finding the solutions of the equation  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ .
- - ▶ Equivalent to  $4a^2x^2 + 4abx = -4ac$ .
  - ▶ *completing the square* we get  $4a^2x^2 + 4abx + b^2 = b^2 - 4ac$ ,
  - ▶ which is  $(2ax + b)^2 = b^2 - 4ac$ .
- - ▶ If the *discriminant*  $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$  is not a square in  $F$  (meaning it has no square root in  $F$ ), then  $ax^2 + bx + c$  has no root in  $F$ .
  - ▶ If  $\Delta$  is a square in  $F$ , then  $(2ax + b)^2 - (\sqrt{\Delta})^2 = 0$ , hence  $(2ax + b - \sqrt{\Delta}) \cdot (2ax + b + \sqrt{\Delta}) = 0$ .
  - ▶ In this case  $ax^2 + bx + c$  has roots given by the familiar formula  $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ , which coincide when  $b^2 - 4ac = 0$ .
- $ax^2 + bx + c$  is reducible precisely when  $b^2 - 4ac$  is a square in  $F$ .

- EXAMPLE. A quadratic polynomial  $ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  (hence assuming  $a \neq 0$ ) is irreducible exactly when  $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ .
- EXAMPLE. The polynomial  $x^2 - 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but reducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ , because  $x^2 - 2 = (x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})$ , and  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , which means that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational (we'll see later why).
- EXAMPLE. Because any number in  $\mathbb{C}$  has square roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ , every quadratic polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  is reducible (and so it factorises as a product of two polynomials of degree one).

# Unique factorisation for polynomials

- THEOREM. *Every non-constant polynomial over a field  $F$  is a product of irreducible polynomials, in an essentially unique way.*
- *Essentially* means the factorisation is only unique up to permuting factors and multiplying them by non-zero constants.
- EXAMPLE.  $2x^2 + 10x + 12 = 2(x + 2)(x + 3) = (2x + 4)(x + 3) = (x + 2)(2x + 6) = (3x + 6)\left(\frac{2}{3}x + 2\right)$ , and so on.
- EXAMPLE. In  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  (or  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned}x^4 - 5x^2 + 4 &= (x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 4) = (x^2 - 3x + 2)(x^2 + 3x + 2) \\ &= (x^2 - x - 2)(x^2 + x - 2)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This does not contradict the Unique Factorisation Theorem because those quadratic factors are not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- ▶ In fact,  $x^4 - 5x^2 + 4 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x - 2)(x + 2)$ .

# The maximum number of roots of a polynomial

- THEOREM. *A polynomial of degree  $n \geq 0$  has at most  $n$  distinct roots in a field  $F$ .*
- PROOF (INFORMAL).
  - ▶ If  $f(x)$  has a root  $\alpha$ , then by the Factor Theorem  $f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot g(x)$ , with  $g(x)$  of degree  $n - 1$ .
  - ▶ If  $f(x)$  has another root  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , then  $0 = f(\beta) = (\beta - \alpha) \cdot g(\beta)$ , hence  $g(\beta) = 0$ , so  $\beta$  is a root of  $g(x)$ .
  - ▶ Then by the Factor Theorem  $g(x) = (x - \beta) \cdot h(x)$ , and so  $f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot (x - \beta) \cdot h(x)$ , with  $h(x)$  of degree  $n - 2$ .
  - ▶ And so on, but in this way we cannot find more than  $n$  distinct roots. (The procedure may stop before finding  $n$  distinct roots if some root is repeated, or if we get some factor of  $f$  which has no roots in  $F$ .)  $\square$

- COROLLARY. A polynomial  $f(x)$  of degree  $< n$  is uniquely determined by the values it takes on  $n$  distinct elements of  $F$ .

- PROOF. Suppose we know the values

$$f(b_1) = c_1, \quad f(b_2) = c_2, \quad \dots \quad f(b_n) = c_n,$$

for some distinct  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ .

- ▶ Let  $g(x)$  be any polynomial of degree  $< n$  which also satisfies
$$g(b_1) = c_1, \quad g(b_2) = c_2, \quad \dots \quad g(b_n) = c_n.$$
- ▶ Then either  $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$  is zero, or  $\deg(h(x)) < n$ , and
$$h(b_1) = 0, \quad h(b_2) = 0, \quad \dots \quad h(b_n) = 0.$$
- ▶ So  $h(x)$ , which has degree  $< n$ , has at least  $n$  roots. This contradicts the Theorem, unless  $h(x) = 0$ , hence  $g(x) = f(x)$ . □

- EXAMPLE. If  $\deg(f) < 2$  (hence of degree 1 or constant), then knowing  $f(b_1)$  and  $f(b_2)$  for some  $b_1 \neq b_2$  is sufficient to determine  $f$  uniquely. (Note the graph is a straight line.)  
We actually need two values, just  $f(b_1)$  would not be enough.



# Polynomial interpolation

- The Corollary proves the *uniqueness* part of the following.
- INTERPOLATION THEOREM. *Given distinct  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in F$  (a field as usual), and arbitrary  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in F$ , there exists a unique polynomial  $f(x) \in F$  of degree  $< n$  such that*
$$f(b_1) = c_1, \quad f(b_2) = c_2, \quad \dots \quad f(b_n) = c_n.$$
- A proof of *existence* is the Notes (optional) and includes a method to find  $f(x)$ . Or proceed directly as follows.
- EXAMPLE. Find the unique polynomial  $f(x)$  of degree  $< 3$  such that  $f(-2) = 7, \quad f(0) = 3, \quad f(1) = 1.$ 
  - ▶ Set  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ . Then  $4a - 2b + c = 7, \quad c = 3,$   
 $a + b + c = 1$ . Solving the system we find  $a = 0, b = -2, c = 3$ .
  - ▶ Hence  $f(x) = -2x + 3$ , actually of degree 1 (could have been 2).

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

- FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA. (Argand, 1806)  
Every non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  has at least one root in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- COROLLARY. The irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  are precisely those of degree one.
- COROLLARY. Every non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  is a product of polynomials of degree one.
- The fact that a root exists *does not mean* that there is a formula for finding it (or finding all roots, like for quadratics):
  - ▶ formulas for cubics and quartics known since 16th century;
  - ▶ no formula exists (using only the four operations, and radicals) for quintics and higher degree (proved by Abel and Ruffini, 1824).
- EXAMPLE. No complex root of  $x^5 - x - 1$  can be written using rational numbers and applying algebraic operations and radicals.

● EXAMPLE. Consider the polynomial  $x^5 - x - 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

- ▶ One can find a root numerically, roughly 1.167.
- ▶ Applying Ruffini's Rule we find (approximately!)

|       |   |       |       |       |       |    |
|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|
|       | 1 | 0     | 0     | 0     | -1    | -1 |
| 1.167 |   | 1.167 | 1.362 | 1.590 | 1.856 | 1  |
|       | 1 | 1.167 | 1.362 | 1.590 | 0.856 | 0  |

$$x^5 - x - 1 \approx (x - 1.167)(x^4 + 1.167x^3 + 1.362x^2 + 1.590x + 0.856).$$

- ▶ The factor of degree 4 has at least one root in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Continuing in this way one eventually finds the complete complex factorisation

$$x^5 - x - 1 \approx (x - 1.167)(x - 0.181 + 1.083i)(x - 0.181 - 1.083i) \\ \cdot (x + 0.764 + 0.352i)(x + 0.764 - 0.352i).$$

- ▶ The complete factorisation in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  is

$$x^5 - x - 1 \approx (x - 1.167)(x^2 - 0.362x + 1.207)(x^2 + 1.529x + 0.709).$$

# Complex conjugation

- For a complex number in standard notation  $\alpha = s + it$  (so  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), its conjugate is  $\bar{\alpha} = s - it$ . Hence  $\overline{\bar{\alpha}} = \alpha$ .
- $\alpha$  is real exactly when  $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha$ . In fact, its real and imaginary parts are  $s = \Re(\alpha) = (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/2$  and  $it = \Im(\alpha) = (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})/2$ .
- Because  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = (s + it)(s - it) = s^2 + t^2 = |\alpha|^2$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{s + it} = \frac{s - it}{s^2 + t^2} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{|\alpha|^2}$$

- The main two properties of complex conjugation are

$$\overline{\alpha + \beta} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}, \quad \overline{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}.$$

- They say that conjugation  $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$  is a *field automorphism* of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- Other properties follow:  $\overline{\alpha - \beta} = \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}$  and  $\overline{\alpha/\beta} = \bar{\alpha}/\bar{\beta}$ .
- Also,  $\overline{\alpha^2} = \bar{\alpha}^2$  and, more generally,  $\overline{\alpha^n} = \bar{\alpha}^n$ .

# Complex roots of a polynomial with real coefficients

- LEMMA. *If a complex number  $\alpha = s + it$  is a root of a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , then its conjugate  $\bar{\alpha} = s - it$  is a root as well.*
- PROOF. Write  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$ , hence  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - For any complex number  $\alpha$  (a root or not) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{\alpha}) &= a_n \bar{\alpha}^n + \cdots + a_2 \bar{\alpha}^2 + a_1 \bar{\alpha} + a_0 \\ &= a_n \overline{\alpha^n} + \cdots + a_2 \overline{\alpha^2} + a_1 \bar{\alpha} + a_0 \quad (\text{because } \overline{\alpha^n} = \bar{\alpha}^n) \\ &= \overline{a_n \alpha^n + \cdots + a_2 \alpha^2 + a_1 \alpha + a_0} \quad (\text{because } \overline{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}) \\ &= \overline{a_n \alpha^n + \cdots + a_2 \alpha^2 + a_1 \alpha + a_0} \quad (\text{because } \overline{\alpha + \beta} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}) \\ &= \overline{f(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, if  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $f(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{f(\alpha)} = 0$ . □
- Hence non-real complex roots of a polynomial with real coefficients come in conjugate pairs,  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

# Combining pairs of conjugate roots

- For any complex number  $\alpha = s + it$ , the polynomial

$$(x - \alpha)(x - \bar{\alpha}) = x^2 - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})x + \alpha\bar{\alpha}$$

has real coefficients, because  $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2s$  and  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = s^2 + t^2$ .

- If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$  then  $(x - \alpha)(x - \bar{\alpha})$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . It has negative discriminant:  $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})^2 - 4\alpha\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2 = (2it)^2 = -4t^2 < 0$ .
- THEOREM.** *The irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  are those of degree one, and the polynomials  $ax^2 + bx + c$  with  $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ .*
- COROLLARY.** *Every non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  is a product of polynomials of degree one and two.*
- Hence  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  of odd degree has always at least one real root. (This is actually easier to prove directly, as in Calculus.)

• EXAMPLE. Take  $f(x) = 4x^4 + 20x^3 + 30x^2 - 40x + 26$ .

- ▶ Suppose we know a root  $-3 + 2i$ . Then  $x + 3 - 2i$  is a factor of  $f(x)$ .
- ▶ Hence we divide  $f(x)$  by  $x + 3 - 2i$  using Ruffini's rule:

$$\begin{array}{r|rrrr|r} -3 + 2i & 4 & 20 & 30 & -40 & 26 \\ & & -12 + 8i & -40 - 8i & 46 + 4i & -26 \\ \hline & 4 & 8 + 8i & -10 - 8i & 6 + 4i & 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶  $f(x) = (x + 3 - 2i) \cdot [4x^3 + (8 + 8i)x^2 + (-10 - 8i)x + (6 + 4i)]$ .
- ▶ Then the conjugate  $-3 - 2i$  is a root of the cubic factor. Divide:

$$\begin{array}{r|rrr|r} -3 - 2i & 4 & 8 + 8i & -10 - 8i & 6 + 4i \\ & & -12 - 8i & 12 + 8i & -6 - 4i \\ \hline & 4 & -4 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ So  $f(x) = (x + 3 - 2i)(x + 3 + 2i)(4x^2 - 4x + 2)$ , and now it is easy:
- ▶  $f(x) = (x + 3 - 2i)(x + 3 + 2i)(2x - 1 - i)(2x - 1 + i)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ .
- ▶  $f(x) = (x^2 + 6x + 13)(4x^2 - 4x + 2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ .