

# MTH1001M-Algebra

## Slides Week 3

Primes and unique factorisation in the integers.

Writing numbers in a certain base.

Arithmetic and geometric progressions.

Polynomials.

The degree of a polynomial.

Polynomial division with remainder.

# Primes and unique factorisation in the integers

- DEFINITION. A positive integer is *composite* if it can be written as  $a = bc$ , where  $b > 1$  and  $c > 1$  are also integers.
- DEFINITION. We say that  $a > 1$  is *prime* if it is not composite. So  $a$  being prime means that whenever  $a = bc$  for some positive integers  $b$  and  $c$ , then either  $b = 1$  or  $c = 1$ .
- Equivalently,  $a > 1$  is prime if its only positive divisors are 1 and  $a$ .
- By design, 1 is neither prime nor composite.
- THEOREM (Unique factorisation). *Every integer larger than 1 factorises into a product of primes, and in a unique way.*
- EXAMPLE.  $180 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$  are really the same: the order of the factors does not count. Best write  $180 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ .

- Existence is easy. Uniqueness (optional proof in Notes) uses:
- LEMMA. *Let  $p$  be a prime (integer), and let  $a, b$  be integers. If  $p$  divides the product  $ab$ , then  $p$  divides either  $a$  or  $b$ .*
- PROOF. If  $p \mid a$  we are done, so suppose that  $p$  does not divide  $a$ .
  - ▶ Then  $(p, a)$  divides  $p$ , but is not  $p$  because  $p \nmid a$ , so it must be 1 because  $p$  is prime. So  $p$  divides  $ab$  but  $(p, a) = 1$ .
  - ▶ Arithmetical Lemma B then implies that  $p$  divides  $b$ . □
- The theorem on unique factorisation implies the school's rule for finding GCD and lcm (which requires factorising  $a$  and  $b$ ).
- EXAMPLE.  $a = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^0$ ,  $b = 45 = 2^0 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1$ . Then
  - ▶  $(12, 45) = 2^0 3^1 5^0 = 3$  (take each prime with the lower exponent)
  - ▶  $[12, 45] = 2^2 3^2 5^1 = 180$  (take each prime with the higher exponent)
  - ▶ If we multiply them together we get  
 $(12, 45) \cdot [12, 45] = 2^0 3^1 5^0 \cdot 2^2 3^2 5^1 = 2^2 3^3 5^1 = 12 \cdot 45$ .

# Writing integers in a certain base

- When we write a positive integer in decimal notation, say 237, we mean  $237 = (237)_{10} = 2 \cdot 10^2 + 3 \cdot 10 + 7$ .
- The same number can be written in any base  $b > 1$ , using digits from  $0, 1, 2, \dots, b-1$ , for example
  - ▶  $237 = (456)_7 = 4 \cdot 7^2 + 5 \cdot 7 + 6$ ,
  - ▶  $237 = (1422)_5 = 1 \cdot 5^3 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5 + 2$ ,
  - ▶  $237 = (11101101)_2 = 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 1$  (binary),
  - ▶  $237 = (ED)_{16} = 14 \cdot 16 + 13$  (hexadecimal,  $0, \dots, 9, A, B, C, D, E, F$ )
- The notation extends from integers to positive real numbers by writing further digits (possibly infinitely many) after a point:
  - ▶  $237/25 = (9.48)_{10} = (14.22)_5 = 1 \cdot 5 + 4 + 2 \cdot 5^{-1} + 2 \cdot 5^{-2}$
  - ▶ Notation for periodic numbers:  $(1.2\dot{3}4\dot{5})_7 = (1.2345345345\dots)_7$ .
  - ▶ Some numbers can be written in two ways:  $(1.2\dot{6})_7 = (1.3)_7$ .

## Converting integers from base $b$ to decimal

- To convert  $(1422)_5$  from base 5 to decimal, we may just compute  $(1422)_5 = 1 \cdot 5^3 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5 + 2 = \dots = 237$ . But the calculation will be faster if arranged as follows (fewer operations):

$$\begin{aligned}(1422)_5 &= ((1 \cdot 5 + 4) \cdot 5 + 2) \cdot 5 + 2 \\ &= (9 \cdot 5 + 2) \cdot 5 + 2 \\ &= 47 \cdot 5 + 2 = 237.\end{aligned}$$

Good also on a pocket calculator, doing operations in a sequence.

- EXAMPLE.  $(61405)_7 = ((6 \cdot 7 + 1) \cdot 7 + 4) \cdot 7 + 5 = 14950$ .

Can also be arranged as follows (see Ruffini's rule later on):

	6	1	4	0	5
7		42	301	2135	14945
	6	43	305	2135	14950

## Converting integers from decimal to base $b$

- This is done by reversing the previous procedure: to convert  $n$  into  $n = (\cdots d_3 d_2 d_1 d_0)_5 = \cdots + d_3 \cdot 5^3 + d_2 \cdot 5^2 + d_1 \cdot 5 + d_0$  note that  $d_0$  is the remainder of dividing  $n$  by 5; the quotient will be  $\cdots + d_3 \cdot 5^2 + d_2 \cdot 5 + d_1$ ; now divide that by 5; and so on.
- EXAMPLE. To convert 14950 to base 7 keep dividing as follows:

$$14950 = 7 \cdot 2135 + 5$$

$$2135 = 7 \cdot 305 + 0$$

$$305 = 7 \cdot 43 + 4$$

$$43 = 7 \cdot 6 + 1$$

$$6 = 7 \cdot 0 + 6$$

The digits in base 7 are the remainders read from the bottom up, so  $(14950)_{10} = (61405)_7$ .

# Converting real numbers from base $b$ to decimal

- If the number of digits in base  $b$  after the point is finite, say  $s$  digits, remove the point (which is the same as multiplying the number by  $b^s$ ), convert into decimal, and divide the result by  $b^s$ .
- EXAMPLE. To convert  $(14.22)_5$  to decimal, remove the point (which means multiplying by  $5^2$ ), convert  $(1422)_5 = 237$ , and then divide by  $5^2$ :  $(14.22)_5 = 237/25 = 9.48$ .
- If the number to be converted has infinitely many digits, can do the same with an approximation (keep a few digits after the point).
- EXAMPLE. To convert  $(2.\dot{1})_3 = (2.11111\cdots)_3$  to decimal, we may convert the approximation  $(2.111)_3 = (2111)_3/27 = 67/27$ , which equals  $2 + \frac{13}{27} = 2.48\dot{1}$ , so roughly just a bit over 2.48.
- Actually,  $(2.\dot{1})_3 = 2.5$ , because  $(2.\dot{1})_3 \cdot 2 = (11.\dot{2})_3 = (12)_3 = 5$ .

# Converting real numbers from decimal to base $b$

- Split the decimal number into its integer part (what comes before the decimal point) plus a fractional part (hence less than 1), and convert them to base  $b$  separately.
- For the fractional part, multiply it by  $b$ , then the integer part of the result will be the first digit in base  $b$  after the point.

Now take the fractional part and repeat the procedure.

- EXAMPLE. To convert 5.481 to base 3, write it as  $5 + 0.481$ .
  - ▶  $0.481 \cdot 3 = 1.443$ , so first digit after point will be 1;
  - ▶  $0.443 \cdot 3 = 1.329$ , so second digit after point will be 1;
  - ▶  $0.329 \cdot 3 = 0.987$ , so third digit after point will be 0;
  - ▶  $0.987 \cdot 3 = 2.961$ , so fourth digit after point will be 2;
  - ▶  $0.961 \cdot 3 = 2.883$ , so fifth digit after point will be 2; and so on.
  - ▶ In conclusion,  $5.481 = (12.11022 \dots)_3$ .



# Arithmetic progressions

- A sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  of (complex) numbers is an *arithmetic progression* if the difference  $d = a_{k+1} - a_k$  does not depend on  $k$ .
  - ▶ Formula for the  $n$ th term:  $a_n = a_1 + d(n - 1)$ .
  - ▶ *Arithmetic series*  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = \frac{(a_1 + a_n) \cdot n}{2}.$$

PROOF. 
$$\begin{aligned} 2(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) &= (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) \\ &\quad + (a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1) \\ &= (a_1 + a_n) \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Best memorised as *the sum of the first and last term, times the total number of terms, divided by two*. Or *the arithmetic mean (average) of the first and last term, times the total number of terms*.

# Geometric progressions

- A sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  of nonzero numbers is a *geometric progression* if the ratio  $r = a_{k+1}/a_k$  does not depend on  $k$ .
  - ▶ Formula for the  $n$ th term:  $a_n = a_1 \cdot r^{n-1}$ .
  - ▶ The product of all terms is analogue to an arithmetic series. If  $a_1$  and  $r$  are real and positive then

$$\prod_{k=1}^n a_k = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_n = \sqrt{(a_1 a_n)^n}.$$

- ▶ Sum of a geometric progression:

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n = a_1(1 + r + r^2 + \cdots + r^{n-1}) = a_1 \frac{r^n - 1}{r - 1} = a_1 \frac{1 - r^n}{1 - r}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF. } (1 + r + \cdots + r^{n-1})(r - 1) &= \quad r + r^2 + \cdots + r^{n-1} + r^n \\ &\quad - 1 - r - r^2 - \cdots - r^{n-1} \\ &= r^n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If it does not terminate, and  $|r| < 1$ :  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots = a_1/(1 - r)$ .

# Converting a periodic decimal number to a fraction

- $0.171717\ldots = 0.\dot{1}\dot{7} = 0.17 \cdot 1.\dot{0}\dot{1}$   
$$= 0.17 \cdot (1 + (0.01) + (0.01)^2 + \cdots) = \frac{0.17}{1-0.01} = \frac{17}{99}.$$
- More generally, for a number with a *periodic decimal expansion* (or *recurring decimal*), with both an *integer part* and a *pre-period*:
  - ▶  $1234.56789789789\ldots = 1234.56\dot{7}8\dot{9} = \frac{123456789 - 123456}{99900}.$
  - ▶ The numerator of the fraction equals  
$$[\text{integer part}|\text{pre-period}|\text{period}] \text{ minus } [\text{integer part}|\text{pre-period}],$$
  
ignoring the decimal point.
  - ▶ The denominator has as many nines as the number of digits of the period, followed by as many zeroes as the digits of the pre-period.
- The rule works in base  $b$  instead of 10, if you replace 9 with  $b - 1$ .

# Polynomials

- A polynomial is the result after simplification of any expression made from numbers and letters using only additions, subtractions, and multiplications (but no divisions).
- We will only consider polynomials involving just one letter, the *indeterminate*  $x$ . If the expression contains parentheses, they can always be removed through the usual simplification rules:

$x^2 \cdot 5x - 2(4x - 3x \cdot 2 - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{2}{3}x^2$  can be simplified to  $5x^3 - \frac{2}{3}x^2 + 4x + 1$ , which is *a polynomial in normal form*.

- It is important to be clear on which kind of numbers are allowed as coefficients:  $x^2 - 2$  cannot be factorised using only rationals, but it can using reals, because  $x^2 - 2 = (x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})$ .
- We specify a *field*  $F$  for the coefficients, such as  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  (roughly a set of numbers which can also be divided, not just  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\cdot$ ).

- EXAMPLE. The set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a field:  $2/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .  
One can consider polynomials with integer coefficients, but theory gets harder if we are not allowed divisions in the coefficients.
- So a polynomial with coefficients in  $F$  is something of the form  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ , with  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ , for some  $n$ . (We can always put it in this normal form if it is not.)
- The set of all such polynomials is denoted by  $F[x]$ .
- That includes the zero polynomial:  $0 = 0x + 0 = 0x^2 + 0x + 0, \dots$
- Notation  $f(x)$  borrowed from Calculus, as a special type of function of the ‘variable’  $x$ , a *polynomial function*. (This is not quite the same thing as a polynomial, but is equivalent if  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ .)
- If  $\beta$  is any element of  $F$ , we may *evaluate*  $f(x)$  on  $\beta$ , or for  $x = \beta$ , and compute the value  $f(\beta) = a_n \beta^n + \cdots + a_1 \beta + a_0$ .

# The degree

- The degree of a non-zero polynomial  $f(x)$  is the largest integer  $n$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ , and is denoted by  $\deg(f) = n$ .
- Such  $a_n$  is *the leading coefficient*, and  $a_n x^n$  is *the leading term*.
- If  $a_n = 1$  then we call  $f(x)$  *monic*. Call  $a_0$  *the constant term*.
- Writing  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  we *do not* assume  $a_n \neq 0$ .
- EXAMPLE. The polynomial  $(t - 2)x^2 + 3x - \frac{t}{2}$  depends on a parameter  $t$ , meaning that for each value we give to  $t$  we get a polynomial, say in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . When  $t = 2$  we get  $0x^2 + 3x - 1$ , which has degree 1, and when  $t \neq 2$  the degree is 2.
- We have not assigned a degree to the zero polynomial yet. Non-zero constants have degree zero, so  $\deg(0)$  should be less than that: it is convenient to set  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ .

# Addition and multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}(a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0) + (b_n x^n + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0) &= \\ &= (a_n + b_n)x^n + \cdots + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_0 + b_0).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0) \cdot (b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0) &= \\ &= a_n b_m x^{n+m} + (a_{n-1} b_m + a_n b_{m-1}) x^{n+m-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + (a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0) x^2 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0) x + a_0 b_0.\end{aligned}$$

- So the degrees of a sum and of a product of polynomial satisfy

$$\deg(f(x) + g(x)) \leq \max(\deg(f(x)), \deg(g(x))),$$

$$\text{and} \quad \deg(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = \deg(f(x)) + \deg(g(x)).$$

- Setting  $\deg(0) = -\infty$  these are valid also for the zero polynomial.

# Polynomial division with remainder

- THEOREM. Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ . Then there exist unique polynomials  $q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$  such that

$$f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x),$$

where  $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$ .

- Note  $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$  includes the case  $r(x) = 0$ .
- Had we not assigned a degree to 0, the condition on  $r(x)$  should be *where either  $r(x) = 0$  or  $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$* .
- There is no variant such as remainder with  $-b/2 < r \leq b/2$  when dividing integers: polynomial division can only be done in one way.
- PROOF OF EXISTENCE (OF QUOTIENT AND REMAINDER). The main idea of the long division algorithm can provide a proof.  $\square$



- EXAMPLE. Divide  $f(x) = 2x^4 + x^2 - x + 1$  by  $g(x) = 2x - 1$ .

$$\begin{array}{r}
 x^3 \quad + \quad \frac{1}{2}x^2 \quad + \quad \frac{3}{4}x \quad - \quad \frac{1}{8} \\
 2x - 1 \overline{) 2x^4 \quad + \quad 0x^3 \quad + \quad x^2 \quad - \quad x \quad + \quad 1} \\
 \underline{2x^4 \quad - \quad x^3} \phantom{+ \quad x^2 \quad - \quad x \quad + \quad 1} \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } x^3 \quad + \quad x^2 \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \underline{x^3 \quad - \quad \frac{1}{2}x^2} \phantom{- \quad x \quad + \quad 1} \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{x^3 - } \frac{3}{2}x^2 \quad - \quad x \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{x^3 - } \underline{\frac{3}{2}x^2 \quad - \quad \frac{3}{4}x} \phantom{+ \quad 1} \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{x^3 - } \phantom{\frac{3}{2}x^2 - } - \frac{1}{4}x \quad + \quad 1 \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{x^3 - } \phantom{\frac{3}{2}x^2 - } \underline{- \frac{1}{4}x \quad + \quad \frac{1}{8}} \\
 \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{x^3 - } \phantom{\frac{3}{2}x^2 - } \phantom{- \frac{1}{4}x + } \frac{7}{8}
 \end{array}$$

- Hence  $2x^4 + x^2 - x + 1 = (2x - 1) \cdot (x^3 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{3}{4}x - \frac{1}{8}) + \frac{7}{8}$ .
- The algorithm stops as soon as we obtain a *remainder* which is zero or has degree less than the degree of  $g(x)$ .

- We started with integer coefficients but had to use rational numbers in the calculation, and also for the final result: the theorem would fail with  $F = \mathbb{Z}$  because that is not a field.
- EXAMPLE. Divide  $f(x) = 2x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 2$  by  $g(x) = x^2 - 2x + 2$ .

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \phantom{x^2 - 2x + 2} 2x^2 \quad + \quad 3x \quad + \quad 5 \\
 x^2 - 2x + 2 \overline{) \begin{array}{r} 2x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 2 \\ 2x^4 - 4x^3 + 4x^2 \\ \hline \phantom{2x^4 - } 3x^3 - x^2 + x \\ \phantom{2x^4 - } 3x^3 - 6x^2 + 6x \\ \hline \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{3x^3 - } 5x^2 - 5x - 2 \\ \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{3x^3 - } 5x^2 - 10x + 10 \\ \hline \phantom{2x^4 - } \phantom{3x^3 - } \phantom{5x^2 - } 5x - 12 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

- $2x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 2 = (x^2 - 2x + 2) \cdot (2x^2 + 3x + 5) + (5x - 12).$

● PROOF OF UNIQUENESS (OF QUOTIENT AND REMAINDER).

- ▶ Suppose that the division can be done in two ways,

$$f(x) = g(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = g(x)q_2(x) + r_2(x),$$

with  $\deg(r_1(x)) < \deg(g(x))$  and  $\deg(r_2(x)) < \deg(g(x))$ .

- ▶ Then we claim that  $q_1(x) = q_2(x)$  and  $r_1(x) = r_2(x)$ . In fact,

$$g(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x) = f(x) = g(x)q_2(x) + r_2(x),$$

and so

$$g(x) \cdot [q_1(x) - q_2(x)] = r_2(x) - r_1(x).$$

- ▶ By the condition on remainders, the RHS has degree  $< \deg(g(x))$ .
- ▶ The LHS has degree  $\deg(g(x)) + \deg[q_1(x) - q_2(x)]$ .
- ▶ Hence  $q_1(x) - q_2(x)$  has negative degree, but the only negative degree is  $-\infty$ , hence  $q_1(x) - q_2(x) = 0$ .
- ▶ This implies  $q_1(x) = q_2(x)$ , and then also  $r_1(x) = r_2(x)$ . □